

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE . | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/997,440 | 11/15/2001 | David Botstein | P2730P1C31 | 3276 | |
| 7590 05/30/2007 GINGER R. DREGER | | | EXAMINER | | |
| HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE LLP | | | WEGERT, SANDRA L | | |
| 275 MIDDLEF MENLO PARK | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1647 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/30/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/997,440 | ASHKENAZI ET AL. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Sandra Wegert | 1647 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply | pears on the cover s | sheet with the correspondence address | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, however, will apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b | MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A | <u>ugust 2005</u> . | · | | | | |
| | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 19 | 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 119-126, 129-131 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 124-126, 129-131 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 119-123 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from considerat | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 November 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | re: a)⊠ accepted drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the | abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been receiv s have been receiv rity documents hav u (PCT Rule 17.2(a | ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National Stage)). | | | | |
| 2) Notic | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Pa | terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Patent Application | | | | |
| | r No(s)/Mail Date | _ | ther: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

A request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. This application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid.

The Amendment and new arguments, submitted 4 August 2005, have been entered. Claims 119-121 are amended. Claims 1-118, 127 and 128 are cancelled.

Claims 119-126 and 129-131 are under examination in the Instant Application.

Withdrawn Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112

The rejection of Claims 119-126 and 129-131 under 35 USC § 101 and 35 USC § 112, for lack of Utility and Enablement is *withdrawn* based on Applicants' arguments (04 August 2005).

Maintained Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph-Written Description

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,440

Art Unit: 1647

Claims 119-123 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The reasons for this rejection are given at pages 16-18 of the previous Office Action (18 October 2004).

Claims 119-123 are directed to an isolated polypeptide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO: 351. Dependent claims are directed to an isolated polypeptide comprising an amino acid sequence having at least 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, and 99% sequence identity to (a) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 351, (b) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 351, lacking its associated signal peptide, or (c) the amino acid sequence of the polypeptide encoded by the full-length coding sequence of the cDNA deposited under ATCC accession number 209982; wherein the polypeptide is amplified in lung tumors or adenocarcinomas.

The specification teaches a polypeptide (SEQ ID NO: 351). However, the specification does not teach functional or structural characteristics of all claimed polypeptides. The description of one polypeptide is not adequate written description of an entire genus of polypeptides.

To provide evidence of possession of a claimed genus, the specification must provide sufficient distinguishing identifying characteristics of the genus. The factors to be considered include disclosure of complete or partial structure, physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics, structure/function correlation, methods of making the claimed product,

Application/Control Number: 09/997,440

Art Unit: 1647

or any combination thereof. In this case, the only factor present in the claim is a recitation of percent identity. There is not even identification of any particular portion of the structure that must be conserved. Accordingly, in the absence of sufficient recitation of distinguishing identifying characteristics, the specification does not provide adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Was-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19USPQ2d 1111, clearly states that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed" (See page 1117). The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

With the exception of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 351 referred to above, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of all claimed PRO polypeptides, and therefore, would not know how to use them. Conception is not achieved until reduction to practice has occurred, regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of making.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method of use. The polypeptide *itself* is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601 at 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. v. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016.

One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481 at 1483. In *Fiddes*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found to be unpatentable due to lack of written description for that broad class. The specification provided only the bovine

Art Unit: 1647

sequence.

Therefore, only an isolated polypeptide molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 351, but not the full breadth of the claims, meets the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112 is severable from its enablement provision (see page 1115).

Conclusion

Claims 119-123 are rejected. Claims 124-126, 129-131 are allowable.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Nickol, can be reached at (571) 272-0835.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLW

20 May 2007

Ciler Bollara
EILEEN B. O'HARA
PRIMARY EXAMINER